

Compton College

Custom IPEDS Data Feedback Report 2017

Summary of Findings



The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) distributes a standard report to college and university leaders each year entitled **IPEDS Data Feedback Report**. The report summarizes demographic, enrollment, and achievement data from the previous year (in this report, data through 2015-16 academic year and Fall 2016), and the present summary includes comparative references from earlier reports. *Median* results from a comparison group developed by each institution are displayed next to the institutional results. Not to be confused with an average, the *median* is simply the middle number of an ordered group of numbers. The darker bars on all charts represent Compton College while the lighter bars represent the comparison group median. Methodological notes are on pages 9 through 12 of the report.

Comparison groups are auto-selected by IPEDS based on institutional size, location and type (e.g., public, 2-year). Given Compton College's distinct student body and unique history, the automated group does not serve as a meaningful yardstick for comparison. In its place, a customized comparison group was used which includes:

- Baltimore City College (MD)
- Cerritos College
- LA Southwest College
- LA Trade Tech
- Merritt College
- West LA College

These colleges were selected because of their similarity in student demographics, institutional size, and urban/suburban location. With the exceptions of LA Trade Tech and Baltimore City College, this is the same comparison group used for Compton's [Academic Performance Profile](#).

Figure 1. Race/Ethnicity and Gender

The gender distribution at Compton College is fairly similar to the comparison colleges', but Compton has a substantially larger percentage of Hispanic/Latino students (i.e., 10 percentage points higher than the group's median¹). Although the Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander population is small, IPEDS reports continuously indicate this population is fairly unique to Compton when compared with other peer institutions.

Figure 2. Headcount and FTE

Compton College's institutional size is smaller by both headcount and Total FTE² enrollment compared to the median. Full-time enrollment and part-time enrollment are both less than the

¹ The median college, whose numbers represent the midpoint of the peer group, may be different for each measure.

² FTE refers to full-time equivalent student enrollment. See *Methodological Notes* on Page 8 of the main report.

median (22% and 25% less, respectively). Because of this, it should be expected that any data based on Compton's enrollment will also be less than the peer group's median.

Figure 3. Number of Degrees and Certificates

In 2015-16, Compton College awarded fewer Associate degrees (386) than the peer median (486), but reduced the gap from the previous year (316 vs. 423). Compton also awarded fewer mid-term certificates (48 vs. 300) and short-term certificates (131 vs. 296), and the gap between total certificates awarded has increased slightly since the previous year (i.e., about 84% less than the median). These gaps may be related to the prevalence of part-time students, or may be a result of Compton's smaller institutional size and enrollment compared to the peer group. Local data indicates the number of program awards has consistently increased over the past several years, remaining on track with or even surpassing goals listed in the 2015-2020 Strategic Plan.

Figures 4-5. Academic Year Tuition and Fees

These figures show 3- and 4-year trends of college costs. Figure 4 shows that in 2016-17, Compton College had slightly lower tuition and fees (\$1,142) than the peer group median (\$1,226). Figure 5 shows that the average net price of attendance in 2015-2016 was about 23% higher for Compton College than its peer group's median.

Figures 6-9. Grant/Scholarship Recipients

The percentage of *all students* receiving some form of reported financial aid was 55% in 2015-16, lower than the peer group median of 65% (Figure 8). Compton College students also received fewer Pell grants (30%), compared to the group median (38%). Figure 9 shows that the average Pell award amount (in dollars) was slightly higher than the median in 2015-16, although it had been slightly lower than the median in the previous two years. However, the average amount of any financial aid received at Compton was almost 11% higher than the median, similar to the previous two years. Note that Compton College students do not receive federal loans.

Figure 6 indicates financial aid rates specifically for full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking (FTF) students. The percentage of FTF students receiving any kind of aid (82%) is the same as the median rate. The rates of FTF students receiving federal grants and Pell grants were both lower than the median (56% vs. 61% in both cases).

Figures 10-16. Graduation, Persistence, and Transfer-Out Rates

These figures reflect a variety of graduation, persistence, and transfer rates. Persistence is measured with fall-to-fall enrollment (which IPEDS refers to as *Retention*).

Graduation rates for the Fall 2013 FTF cohort at Compton College were objectively low, with a 16% overall graduation rate and an 11% transfer-out rate measured in 2016 (Figure 11). The overall graduation rate was the same as the peer group median, and the transfer-out rate was one percentage point less than the median. The *transfer-out* rate measures all college

transitions, including to other community colleges. California Community College and local transfer rates, such as those reported in the [Annual Fact Book](#), are better measures of actual 4-year transfer.

Figure 12 represents graduation rates for the Fall 2013 FTF cohort, disaggregated by student ethnicity. Although the overall rates are the same as the group median, White, Black or African American, and Asian students graduated at rates below the median. However, these rates largely depend on the demographics of the cohort. While the previous year's report based on the Fall 2012 FTF cohort indicated graduation rates that were 7 points lower than the median for Hispanic/Latino students, IPEDS data for the Fall 2013 FTF cohort indicates Hispanic/Latino students are graduating at the same rate as the peer group median. For disaggregated completion rates of all students who received program awards in the 2016-17 academic year, see the [2016-17 Time to Completion Report](#).

College fall-to-fall retention rates in Figure 10 show higher persistence for full-time students (62% vs 59%) and part-time students (38% vs. 35%), compared to the group medians.

Figure 13 shows the graduation rates of Fall 2012 full-time first-time degree/certificate seeking students. Compton has slightly lower rates of completion for normal time and 200% time, but the rates for 150% are the same as the group median. Figure 14 shows graduation rates for Fall 2013 full-time, first time degree seeking student within 150% of normal time by financial aid type. Full-time, first time Pell grant recipients had the same graduation rate at 150% of the time as their comparison group. Because these rates are cohort-based, it is difficult to explain the year-to-year variation in performance when comparing peer institutions (e.g., Compton's 2011 cohort, in last year's report, had slightly lower rates of completion across all time spans).

Figures 15 and 16 are new figures included in this year's report, using an updated methodology for community college comparisons of achievement. The figures measure student success by including six-year, eight-year, and current enrollment markers disaggregated by first-time enrollment and non-first-time enrollment. Compton College performed below the peer group's median in terms of six-year and eight-year degree/certificate achievement but has higher rates of students who transferred or enrolled at another institution. Comparisons to the group median are similar for students enrolled full-time (Figure 15) and part-time (Figure 16), with the exception that full-time, non-first-time students have 6-year achievement rates higher than the group median.

Figure 17-20. Staffing, Salaries, Revenues, and Expenses

Similar to Figure 3, the comparative staffing levels reported in Figure 19 may reflect differences in enrollment levels, local definitions, and institutional organization, so comparison is less meaningful. The revenue sources and expenses detailed in Figures 17 and 18 are also affected by institutional size and local definitions.

Figure 20 compares average salaries of full-time instructional staff and indicates rates are slightly higher at Compton compared to the median institution, but there is insufficient information from other colleges to draw meaningful conclusions.

Conclusion

Compton College benefits from a customized comparison group reflecting similar features of the institution. On several measures of student achievement, Compton performed similarly to the group median. However, many of these rates are based on uncontrollable characteristics such as institutional size, enrollment, and local definitions. While some of these measures may still reflect improvements as a result of Compton College's unique history, outcomes such as the relatively high persistence rates and consistent increase in program awards (as seen in the [local data](#)) show promise for the future.

IPEDS DATA FEEDBACK REPORT 2017

What Is IPEDS?

The Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) is a system of survey components that collects data from about 7,000 institutions that provide postsecondary education across the United States. IPEDS collects institution-level data on student enrollment, graduation rates, student charges, program completions, faculty, staff, and finances.

These data are used at the federal and state level for policy analysis and development; at the institutional level for benchmarking and peer analysis; and by students and parents, through the College Navigator (<http://collegenavigator.ed.gov>), an online tool to aid in the college search process. For more information about IPEDS, see <http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds>.

What Is the Purpose of This Report?

The Data Feedback Report is intended to provide institutions a context for examining the data they submitted to IPEDS. The purpose of this report is to provide institutional executives a useful resource and to help improve the quality and comparability of IPEDS data.

What Is in This Report?

As suggested by the IPEDS Technical Review Panel, the figures in this report provide selected indicators for your institution and a comparison group of institutions. The figures are based on data collected during the 2016-17 IPEDS collection cycle and are the most recent data available. This report provides a list of pre-selected comparison group institutions and the criteria used for their selection. Additional information about these indicators and the pre-selected comparison group are provided in the Methodological Notes at the end of the report.

Where Can I Do More with IPEDS Data?

Each institution can access previous Data Feedback Reports as far back as 2005 and customize this latest report by using a different comparison group and IPEDS variables of its choosing. To download archived reports or customize the current Data Feedback Report (DFR), please visit our website at <http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/Home/UseTheData>.



El Camino College-Compton Center
Compton, CA



COMPARISON GROUP

Comparison group data are included to provide a context for interpreting your institution's statistics. If your institution did not define a custom comparison group for this report by July 14, 2017 NCES selected a comparison group for you. (In this case, the characteristics used to define the comparison group appears below.) The Customize Data Feedback Report functionality on the IPEDS Data Center at this provided link (<http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/datacenter/>) can be used to reproduce the figures in this report using different peer groups.

The custom comparison group chosen by El Camino College-Compton Center includes the following 6 institutions:


- ▶ Baltimore City Community College (Baltimore, MD)
- ▶ Cerritos College (Norwalk, CA)
- ▶ Los Angeles Southwest College (Los Angeles, CA)
- ▶ Los Angeles Trade Technical College (Los Angeles, CA)
- ▶ Merritt College (Oakland, CA)
- ▶ West Los Angeles College (Culver City, CA)

The figures in this report have been organized and ordered into the following topic areas:

1) Admissions (only for non-open-admissions schools)	[No charts applicable]	
2) Student Enrollment	Fig. 1 and 2	Pg. 3
3) Awards	Fig. 3	Pg. 3
4) Charges and Net Price	Fig. 4 and 5	Pg. 4
5) Student Financial Aid	Fig. 6, 7, 8 and 9	Pg. 4 and 5
6) Military Benefits*	[No charts applicable]	
7) Retention and Graduation Rates	Fig. 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16	Pg. 5, 6 and 7
8) Finance	Fig. 17 and 18	Pg. 8
9) Staff	Fig. 19 and 20	Pg. 8
10) Libraries*	[No charts applicable]	

*These figures only appear in customized Data Feedback Reports (DFR), which are available through Use the Data portal on the IPEDS website.


Figure 1. Percent of all students enrolled, by race/ethnicity, and percent of students who are women: Fall 2016



NOTE: For more information about disaggregation of data by race and ethnicity, see the Methodological Notes. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100%. See "Use of Median Values for Comparison Group" for how median values are determined. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2017, Fall Enrollment component.


Figure 2. Unduplicated 12-month headcount (2015-16), total FTE enrollment (2015-16), and full- and part-time fall enrollment (Fall 2016)



NOTE: For details on calculating full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment, see Calculating FTE in the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2016, 12-month Enrollment component and Spring 2017, Fall Enrollment component.


Figure 3. Number of subbaccalaureate degrees and certificates awarded, by level: 2015-16



NOTE: N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2016, Completions component.


Figure 4. Academic year tuition and required fees for full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates: 2013-14 to 2016-17



NOTE: The tuition and required fees shown here are the lowest reported from the categories of in-district, in-state, and out-of-state. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2016, Institutional Characteristics component.


Figure 5. Average net price of attendance for full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students, who were awarded grant or scholarship aid: 2013-14 to 2015-16



NOTE: Average net price is for full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students and is generated by subtracting the average amount of federal, state/local government, and institutional grant and scholarship awarded aid from the total cost of attendance. Total cost of attendance is the sum of published tuition and required fees, books and supplies, and the average room and board and other expenses. For details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2016, Institutional Characteristics component and Winter 2016-17, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 6. Percent of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students who were awarded grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution, or loans, by type of aid: 2015-16



NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid awarded from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution. Federal grants includes Pell grants and other federal grants. Any loans includes federal loans and other loans awarded to students. For details on how students are counted for financial aid reporting, see Cohort Determination in the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 7. Average amounts of grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution, or loans awarded to full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students, by type of aid: 2015-16



NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid awarded from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution. Federal grants includes Pell grants and other federal grants. Any loans includes federal loans and other loans awarded to students. Average amounts of aid were calculated by dividing the total aid awarded by the total number of recipients in each institution. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group. Medians are not reported for comparison groups with less than three values.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 8. Percent of all undergraduates awarded aid, by type of aid: 2015-16



NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid awarded from the federal government, state/local government, the institution, or other sources. Federal loans includes only federal loans awarded to students. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 9. Average amount of aid awarded to all undergraduates, by type of aid: 2015-16



NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, the institution, or other sources. Federal loans includes federal loans to students. Average amounts of aid were calculated by dividing the total aid awarded by the total number of recipients in each institution. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 10. Retention rates of full-time, first time degree/certificate seeking students: Fall 2015 cohort



NOTE: Retention rates are measured from the fall of first enrollment to the following fall. Academic reporting institutions report retention data as of the institution's official fall reporting date or as of October 15, 2015. Program reporters determine the cohort with enrollment any time between August 1-October 31, 2015 and retention based on August 1, 2016. For more details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2017, Fall Enrollment component.


Figure 11. Graduation and transfer-out rates of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates within 150% of normal time to program completion: 2013 cohort



NOTE: Graduation rate cohort includes all full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students. Graduation and transfer-out rates are the Student Right-to-Know rates. Only institutions with mission to prepare students to transfer are required to report transfer out. For more details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, Graduation Rates component.


Figure 12. Graduation rates of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates within 150% of normal time to program completion, by race/ethnicity: 2013 cohort



NOTE: For more information about disaggregation of data by race and ethnicity, see the Methodological Notes. The graduation rates are the Student Right-to-Know (SRK) rates. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100%. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group. Medians are not reported for comparison groups with less than three values.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, Graduation Rates component.


Figure 13. Graduation rates of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates within normal time, and 150% and 200% of normal time to completion: 2012 cohort



NOTE: The 150% graduation rate is the Student Right-to-Know (SRK) rates; the Normal time and 200% rates are calculated using the same methodology. For details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, 200% Graduation Rates component.


Figure 14. Graduation rates of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates within 150% of normal time to program completion, by financial aid type: 2013 cohort



NOTE: Graduation rate cohort includes all full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students. Data were collected on those students, who at entry of the cohort, were awarded a Pell Grant and students who were awarded a Subsidized Stafford loan, but did not receive a Pell Grant. Graduation rates are the Student Right-to-Know rates. Only institutions with mission to prepare students to transfer are required to report transfer out. For more details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group. Medians are not reported for comparison groups with less than three values.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, Graduation Rates component.


Figure 15. Award and enrollment rates of full-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates after 8 years of entry, by prior postsecondary experience: 2008 cohort



NOTE: Award and enrollment measures are measured from eight years after entering the institution into one of four degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate student cohort (First-time, full-time; First-time, part-time; Non-first-time, full-time; and Non-first-time, part-time). Academic reporting institutions report outcome data as of the institution's official fall reporting date as of October 15, 2015. Program reporters determine the cohort with enrollment any time between September 1, 2007 and August 31, 2008. For more details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, Outcome Measures component.


Figure 16. Award and enrollment rates of part-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates after 8 years of entry, by prior postsecondary experience: 2008 cohort



NOTE: Award and enrollment measures are measured from eight years after entering the institution into one of four degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate student cohort (First-time, full-time; First-time, part-time; Non-first-time, full-time; and Non-first-time, part-time). Academic reporting institutions report outcome data as of the institution's official fall reporting date as of October 15, 2015. Program reporters determine the cohort with enrollment any time between September 1, 2007 and August 31, 2008. For more details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2016-17, Outcome Measures component.


Figure 17. Percent distribution of core revenues, by source: Fiscal year 2016



NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as the comparison institution. For more information, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2017, Finance component.


Figure 18. Core expenses per FTE enrollment, by function: Fiscal year 2016



NOTE: Expenses per full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment, particularly instruction, may be inflated because finance data includes all core expenses while FTE reflects credit activity only. For details on calculating FTE enrollment and a detailed definition of core expenses, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2016, 12-month Enrollment component and Spring 2017, Finance component.


Figure 19. Full-time equivalent staff, by occupational category: Fall 2016



NOTE: Graduate assistants are not included. For calculation details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2017, Human Resources component.

Figure 20. Average salaries of full-time instructional non-medical staff equated to 9-months worked, by academic rank: Academic year 2016-17



NOTE: See Methodology Notes for more details on average salary. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group. Medians are not reported for comparison groups with less than three values.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2017, Human Resources component.

METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

Overview

This report is based on data supplied by institutions to IPEDS during 2016-17 data collection year. Response rates exceeded 99% for most surveys. IPEDS First Look reports at <http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/getpubcats.asp?sid=010> provide some information on aggregate institutional responses.

Use of Median Values for Comparison Group

This report compares your institution's data to the median value for the comparison group for each statistic shown in the figure. If more than one statistic is present in a figure, the median values are determined separately for each indicator or statistic. Medians are not displayed for comparison groups with fewer than three values. Where percentage distributions are presented, median values may not add to 100%. To access all the data used to create the figures included in this report, go to 'Use the Data' portal on the IPEDS website at this provided link (<http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds>).

Missing Statistics

If a statistic is not reported for your institution, the omission indicates that the statistic is not relevant to your institution and the data were not collected. Not all notes may be applicable to your report.

Use of Imputed Data

All IPEDS data are subject to imputation for total (institutional) and partial (item) nonresponse. If necessary, imputed values were used to prepare your report.

Data Confidentiality

IPEDS data are not collected under a pledge of confidentiality.

Disaggregation of Data by Race/Ethnicity

When applicable, some statistics are disaggregated by race/ethnicity. Data disaggregated by race/ethnicity have been reported using the 1997 Office of Management and Budget categories. Detailed information about the race/ethnicity categories can be found at <https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/Section/Resources>.

Cohort Determination for Reporting Student Financial Aid, Graduation Rates, and Outcome Measures

Student cohorts for reporting Student Financial Aid and Graduation Rates data are based on the reporting type of the institution. For institutions that report based on an academic year (those operating on standard academic terms), student counts and cohorts are based on fall term data. Student counts and cohorts for program reporters (those that do not operate on standard academic terms) are based on unduplicated counts of students enrolled during a full 12-month period.

DESCRIPTION OF STATISTICS USED IN THE FIGURES

Admissions (only for non-open-admissions schools)

Admissions and Test Score Data

Admissions and test score data are presented only for institutions that do not have an open admission policy, and apply to first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students only. Applicants include only those students who fulfilled all requirements for consideration for admission and who were notified of one of the following actions: admission, non-admission, placement on a wait list, or application withdrawn (by applicant or institution). Admitted applicants (admissions) include wait-listed students who were subsequently offered admission. Early decision, early action, and students who began studies during the summer prior to the fall reporting period are included. For customized Data Feedback Reports, test scores are presented only if scores are required for admission.

Student Enrollment

FTE Enrollment

The full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment used in this report is the sum of the institution's FTE undergraduate enrollment and FTE graduate enrollment (as calculated from or reported on the 12-month Enrollment component). Undergraduate and graduate FTE are estimated using 12-month instructional activity (credit and/or contact hours). See "Calculation of FTE Students (using instructional activity)" in the IPEDS Glossary at <https://surveys.nces.ed.gov/ipeds/VisGlossaryAll.aspx>.

Total Entering Undergraduate Students

Total entering students are students at the undergraduate level, both full- and part-time, new to the institution in the fall term (or the prior summer term who returned in the fall). This includes all first-time undergraduate students, students transferring into the institution at the undergraduate level, and non-degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates entering in the fall. Only degree-granting, academic year reporting institutions provide total entering student data.

Charges and Net Price

Average Institutional Net Price

Average net price is calculated for full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates who were awarded grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution anytime during the full aid year. For public institutions, this includes only students who paid the in-state or in-district tuition rate. Other sources of grant aid are excluded. Average net price is generated by subtracting the average amount of federal, state/local government, and institutional grant and scholarship aid from the total cost of attendance. Total cost of attendance is the sum of published tuition and required fees, books and supplies, and the average room and board and other expenses.

For the purpose of the IPEDS reporting, aid awarded refers to financial aid that was awarded to, and accepted by, a student. This amount may differ from the aid amount that is disbursed to a student.

Retention, Graduation Rates, and Outcome Measures

Graduation Rates and Transfer-out Rate

Graduation rates are those developed to satisfy the requirements of the Student Right-to-Know Act and Higher Education Act, as amended, and are defined as the total number of individuals from a given cohort of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates who completed a degree or certificate within a given percent of normal time to complete all requirements of the degree or certificate program; divided by the total number of students in the cohort of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates minus any allowable exclusions. Institutions are permitted to exclude from the cohort students who died or were totally and permanently disabled; those who left school to serve in the armed forces or were called up to active duty; those who left to serve with a foreign aid service of the federal government, such as the Peace Corps; and those who left to serve on an official church mission.

A further extension of the traditional Graduation Rates (GR) component which carries forward 100% and 150% graduation rates data previously reported in the GR component is the Graduation Rates 200% (GR200) component, which request information on any additional completers and exclusions from the cohort between 151% and 200% normal time for students to complete all requirements of their program of study.

Transfer-out rate is the total number of students from the cohort who are known to have transferred out of the reporting institution (without earning a degree/award) and subsequently re-enrolled at another institution within the same time period; divided by the same adjusted cohort (initial cohort minus allowable exclusions) as described above. Only institutions with a mission that includes providing substantial preparation for students to enroll in another eligible institution are required to report transfers out.

Retention Rates

Retention rates are measures at which students persist in their educational program at an institution, expressed as a percentage. For four-year institutions, this is the percentage of first-time bachelors (or equivalent) degree-seeking undergraduates from the previous fall who are again enrolled in the current fall. For all other institutions this is the percentage of first-time degree/certificate-seeking students from the previous fall who either re-enrolled or successfully completed their program by the current fall. The full-time retention rate is calculated using the percentage of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates, while the part-time rate is calculated using the percentage of part-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates.

Outcome Measures Data

Alternative measures of student success are reported by degree-granting institutions to describe the outcomes of degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students who are not only first-time, full-time students, but also part-time attending and non-first-time (transfer-in) students. These measures provide the 6-year and 8-year award-completion rates after entering an institution, which is calculated by dividing the number of total awards at 6- or 8-year status points divided by the adjusted cohort. The initial cohort can be revised and take allowable exclusions resulting in an adjusted cohort. The type of award is not reported, but institutions report the first award earned by the student at each status point. For students who did not earn an undergraduate award after 8-years of entry, the enrollment statuses are reported as either still enrolled at the institution, subsequently transferred out of the institution, or status unknown. Unlike the Graduation Rates data, all reporting institutions must report on their transfer outs regardless if the institution has a mission that provides substantial transfer preparation.

Finance

Core Revenues

Core revenues for public institutions reporting under GASB standards include tuition and fees; government (federal, state, and local) appropriations and operating and nonoperating grants/contracts; private gifts, grants, and contracts (private operating grants/contracts plus gifts and contributions from affiliated entities); sales and services of educational activities; investment income; other operating and nonoperating sources; and other revenues and additions (capital appropriations and grants and additions to permanent endowments). "Other core revenues" include federal appropriations, sales and services of educational activities, other operating and nonoperating sources, and other revenues and additions.

Core revenues for private, not-for-profit institutions (and a small number of public institutions) reporting under FASB standards include tuition and fees; government (federal, state, and local) appropriations and grants/contracts; private gifts, grants/contracts (including contributions from affiliated entities); investment return; sales and services of educational activities; and other sources (a generated category of total revenues minus the sum of core and noncore categories on the Finance component). "Other core revenues" include government (federal, state, and local) appropriations, sales and services of educational activities, and other sources.

Core revenues for private, for-profit institutions reporting under FASB standards include tuition and fees; government (federal, state, and local) appropriations and grants/contracts; private grants/ contracts; investment income; sales and services of educational activities; and other sources (a generated category of total revenues minus the sum of core and noncore categories on the Finance component). "Other core revenues" include government (federal, state, and local) appropriations and other sources.

At degree-granting institutions, core revenues exclude revenues from auxiliary enterprises (e.g., bookstores and dormitories), hospitals, and independent operations. Non-degree-granting institutions do not report revenue from auxiliary enterprises in a separate category, and thus may include these amounts in the core revenues from other sources.

Core Expenses

Core expenses include expenses for instruction, research, public service, academic support, institutional support, student services, grant aid/scholarships and fellowships (net of discounts and allowances), and other functional expenses (a generated category of total expense minus the sum of core and noncore functions on the Finance component). Expenses for operation and maintenance of plant, depreciation, and interest are allocated to each of the other functions. Core expenses at degree-granting institutions exclude expenses for auxiliary enterprises (e.g., bookstores and dormitories), hospitals, and independent operations. Non-degree-granting institutions do not report expenses for auxiliary enterprises in a separate category and thus may include these amounts in the core expenses as other expenses. "Other core expenses" is the sum of grant aid/scholarships and fellowships and other expenses.

Endowment Assets

Endowment assets, for public institutions under GASB standards, and private, not-for-profit institutions under FASB standards, include gross investments of endowment funds, term endowment funds, and funds functioning as endowment for the institution and any of its foundations and other affiliated organizations. Private, for-profit institutions under FASB do not hold or report endowment assets.

Salaries and Wages

Salaries and wages for public institutions under GASB standards and private (not-for-profit and for-profit) institutions under FASB standards, include amounts paid as compensation for services to all employees regardless of the duration of service, and amounts made to or on behalf of an individual over and above that received in the form of a salary or wage.

Staff

FTE Staff

The full-time-equivalent (FTE) by occupational category is calculated by summing the total number of full-time staff and adding one-third of the total number of part-time staff. Graduate assistants are not included.

Equated Instructional Non-Medical Staff Salaries

Institutions reported the number of full-time nonmedical instructional staff and their salary outlays by academic rank, gender, and the number of months worked (9-, 10-, 11-, and 12-months). Salary outlays for staff who worked 10-, 11-, and 12-months were equated to 9-months of work by multiplying the outlays reported for 10-months by 0.90, the outlays reported for 11 months by 0.818, and the outlays reported for 12-months by 0.75. The equated 10-, 11-, and 12-outlays were then added to the outlays for instructional staff that worked 9-months to generate a total 9-month equated salary outlay. The total 9-month equated outlay was then divided by total number of instructional non-medical staff to determine an equated 9-month average salary. This calculation was done for each academic rank. Salary outlays were not reported for staff that work less than 9-months and were excluded.

Student-to-Faculty Ratio

Institutions can provide their institution's student-to-faculty ratio (i.e., student-to-instructional staff) for undergraduate programs or follow the NCES guidance in calculating their student-to-faculty ratio, which is as follows: the number of FTE students (using Fall Enrollment survey data) divided by total FTE instructional staff (using the total Primarily instruction + Instruction/research/public service staff reported in Human Resources component and adding any not primarily instructional staff that are teaching a credit course). For this calculation, FTE for students is equal to the number of the full-time students plus one-third the number of part-time students; FTE for instructional staff is similarly calculated. Students in "stand-alone" graduate or professional programs (such as, medicine, law, veterinary, dentistry, social work, or public health) and instructional staff teaching in these programs are excluded from the FTE calculations.

Additional Methodological Information

Additional methodological information on the IPEDS components can be found in the publications available at <http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/getpubcats.asp?sid=010>.

Additional definitions of variables used in this report can be found in the IPEDS online glossary available at this provided link <https://surveys.nces.ed.gov/ipeds/VisGlossaryAll.aspx>.