Recommendations resolved January 2010: 3, 4, 7, 8, 9 Recommendations resolved February 2011: 1, 2, 5, 6, Commission Concerns 1 & 2

Recommendation 1. As cited in previous (1990, 1996, and 2002) accreditation recommendations the college should complete the full implementation of its process for tracking planning, program review, budgeting, and evaluation process and complete the cycle to assure that all the departments and sites (including the ECC Compton Center) of the college participate in the program review process, and that the results of program review clearly link to institutional planning and the allocation of resources. (I.B.3; I.B.3; II.A.2.e; II.A.2.f; III.B.1; III.B.1.a; III.B.2.a; III.b.2.b)

Recommendation 2. The college should immediately define and publish a timeline in respect to how it will develop and implement student learning outcomes at the course, program and degree levels, establish systems to assess student learning outcomes and use the results of such assessments to make improvements in the delivery of student learning, to ensure the College shall attain, by 2012, the level of Proficiency in the *ACCJC Rubric for Evaluating Instructional Effectiveness—Part III: Student Learning Outcomes.* The college should immediately implement processes to communicate to students expected student learning outcomes in course outlines, course syllabi, college catalog and/or other effective channels (II.A.1.a; II.A.1.c; II.A.2.a-b; II.A.2.f; II.A.6)

Recommendation 3. The college should revise its curriculum review processes and cycles so that all curriculum across the college is reviewed consistently, that the cycle of review assures the currency of the curriculum, and that the curriculum review and program review processes are integrated so that an important element of program review (the determination that program curriculum needs revision, addition or deletion to remain current) will be part of the actual program review process. (II.A.2; II.A.2.a; II.A.2.b; II.2.A.2.c; II.2.A.2.d; II.A.2.e)

Recommendation 4: The College needs to assure that online courses and programs are consistent in meeting the same level of rigor as on campus programs, that all services available on campus are available online, that student learning outcomes are incorporated into these offerings and that this information is clearly communicated to students taking these courses. (II.A.1.b; II.A.2; II.A.2.a.2; II.A.6; II.A.7)

Recommendation 5. El Camino College and the ECC Compton Center need to fully integrate SLO assessment into the faculty evaluation process. The ECC Compton Center must implement its faculty evaluations and use the results of these evaluations to encourage instructional improvements and faculty development plans. (III.A.1.b; III.A.1.c)

Recommendation 6. El Camino College must develop a fiscal management plan at all sites, matched to its revenues, to assure the fiscal soundness of the institution. (III.D.2.c, III.D.2.d, III.D.2.g; III.D.3)

Recommendation 7. El Camino College should develop a staffing plan for all sites which assures the effectiveness of human resources, includes written criteria for all personnel, and assigns individuals to duties appropriate to their expertise and the needs of the

institution (III.A.1.a, III.A.1.b).

Recommendation 8: El Camino College must develop a facilities master plan for all sites, linked to educational planning, and integrate this plan with the institution's overall planning process (III.B.I.a, III.B.2.a, III.B.2.b).

Recommendation 9: The El Camino College Board of Trustees must include in its code of ethics a clearly defined policy for dealing with behavior that violates this code. (IV.B.h)

Commission Concern 1: El Camino College should reevaluate its online course offerings and submit substantive change proposals where 50% or more of a program can be achieved online. (ER 21, Substantive Change Policy) The College may risk losing federal financial aid for programs that have not received substantive change approval.

Commission Concern 2: El Camino College should shorten its timeline for program review so there is a mechanism to support a closer integration of the program review, planning and resource allocation processes. (II.B.3, II.B.4, II.B.6)